# **Illumination Invariant Texture Retrieval**

# Michal Haindl Pavel Vácha

{haindl, vacha}@utia.cas.cz



Institute of Information Theory and Automation Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic, CZ182 08



#### Abstract

Two fast illumination invariant image retrieval methods for scenes comprising textured objects with variable illumination are introduced. Both methods are based on texture gradient modelled by efficient set of random field models. We developed the illumination insensitive measures for textured images representation and compared them favorably with steerable pyramid and Gabor features in the illumination invariant BTF texture recognition.

#### Problem formulation



Illumination invariant texture retrieval using single training image per class with unknown illumination direction. Textures from the University of Bonn BTF database (81 different illuminations for a fixed view per texture).

• BTF textures used in the retrieval experiments



### Experiments

BTF textures are from the University of Bonn [?] and contain BTF colour measurements such as foil, lacquered wood, floor-tile, floorplastic, glazed tile, fabric or ceiling panel textures. Each BTF material sample is measured in 81 illumination angles and it has the resolution  $512 \times 512$ .

Classification performance comparison in [%] for the BTF test set. Class etalons are top lighted images, the others are classified.

method	$<0^{o};30^{o}>$	$< 45^{\circ}; 65^{\circ} >$	$75^{o}$	aver.
Gabor	97.6	75.2	24.4	64.9
Steerable	82.5	49.2	27.4	50.2
CAR	84.1	73.3	67.2	73.9
GMRF	92.8	80.5	69.0	79.8

Estimated probability of correct classification and recall rate  $(rr_n)$ for n textures retrieved. Classification of samples  $10^5$ , retrieval of every image.

method	Gabor	Steerable	CAR	GMRF
P(correct)	0.71	0.77	0.81	0.85
$rr_{88}$	0.70	0.75	0.80	0.84
$rr_{100}$	0.72	0.77	0.82	0.85

#### Conclusions

Proposed Solution

- Multiscale texture gradient based on MRF type of BTF texture representations:
  - 1. CAR texture representation,
  - 2. GMRF texture representation.

#### Texture Gradient

kth Scale Texture Gradient

$$Y_r^{(k)} = \left[\frac{\partial Y_r^{(k)}}{\partial r_1}, \frac{\partial Y_r^{(k)}}{\partial r_2}\right]^T$$

Multiscale decomposition - k levels of the image (Y) Gaussian pyramid.



#### **GMRF** Factor model

Local condition density is Gaussian:

$$p(Y_r|Y_s \; \forall s \in I_r) = \frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(Y_r - \gamma Z_r)^T \Sigma^{-1}(Y_r - \gamma Z_r)\right\}}{2\pi |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

 $I_r$  non-causal symmetrical neighbour index set

The GMRF model has the form of CAR model with the following noise correlation (diagonal  $\sigma$ ):

$$E\{\epsilon_{r,l}\epsilon_{r-s,j}\} = \begin{cases} \sigma_j^2 & \text{if } (s) = (0,0) \text{ and } l = j, \\ -\sigma_j^2 a_j^s & \text{if } (s) \in I_r^j \text{ and } l = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

 $\sigma_i, a_i^s \; \forall s \in I_r^j$  unknown parameters

Texture gradient is reasonable insensitive to illumination direction changes.

Gradient parametric representation:  $\Theta = [\gamma^{(k)} \forall k]$  where  $\gamma^{(k)}$  is the corresponding k-th scale factor model parameter matrix.

#### **CAR Factor Model**

 $Y_r = \gamma Z_r + \epsilon_r$ 

 $\gamma = [A_1, \ldots, A_n]$  unknown parameter matrix  $A_i$  diagonal matrices  $Z_r = [Y_{r-i}^T : \forall i \in I_r]^T$  data vector

 $I_r$  contextual causal or unilateral neighbour index set  $r = (r_1, r_2)$  multi-index (row, column)  $\epsilon_r$  white noise with zero mean and unknown covariance matrix

Analytical recursive Bayesian estimation of  $\gamma$ .

Pseudo-likelihood estimation of  $\gamma$ .

## The Retrieval Algorithm

#### • Analysis

- 1. Factorize the texture into a multiscale representation using the Gaussian pyramid.
- 2. Find the parametric representation of the multiscale texture gradient for each texture.
- Retrieval
  - 1. Find the n nearest textures to a given target texture based on the  $L_1$  norm  $j^* = \arg\min_i |\Theta - \Theta_i|.$

Illumination invariance.  $\oplus$ 

- Single training image per class.  $\oplus$
- Illumination direction knowledge not needed.  $\oplus$
- Average improvement 4 14% to Gabor / Steerable  $\oplus$ pyramid based methods.
- Two times faster than the Gabor filter method.  $\oplus$
- Recursive analytical solution (CAR model).

ICPR 2006, Hong Kong, China